Annual WGEI Steering Committee Meeting

WGEI Work Plan Activity 4: Creating an Extractive Industries Auditor Toolkit

For more information, contact Casey Brown at brownc@gao.gov
Overview

• Recap discussion from prior Steering Committee meeting in September 2017
• Goals
• Key features
• Concepts for keeping material updated
• Items for group discussion and questions
Recap of discussion at 2017 Annual Steering Committee meeting

• Extensive existing and forthcoming guidance
• Not necessary to produce new guidance
• Instead, develop tool to consolidate existing guidance
• Agreement to create online EI Auditor Toolkit
Key Goals for the EI Toolkit

- Minimize, as appropriate, the level of review required as part of the Forum for INTOSAI Professional Pronouncements (FIPP) process
- Consolidate existing guidance
- Consolidate existing WGEI website resources
- Build the toolkit around the 7-step EI Value Chain
Key Features of the EI Auditor Toolkit

- Organizational structure
- Concise, graphical, and easy to read
- Highlights key content from source materials
- Gives links to organizations and sources so readers can delve deeper into materials
- Provide suggestions for key audit considerations and spur further critical thinking
- Contains a summary of issues related to Artisanal and Small-Scale Mining
Preliminary Concepts for Updating the Toolkit

• Designate a Steering Committee member SAI as the toolkit manager for a 2-year period

• Annual update process
  • The toolkit manager will outreach to other members of the Steering Committee via email and solicit proposed edits to the toolkit text, citations, and sources.
  • The toolkit manager will aggregate the proposed edits and additions and circulate a revised toolkit to the Steering Committee for approval
  • The toolkit manager will perform necessary quality assurance checks and reviews.
Preliminary Concepts for Updating the Toolkit

• External requests to add new material or sources that occur throughout the year
  • The toolkit manager will receive suggestions and requests from organizations and authors to add new sources or material
  • The toolkit manager can use their professional judgment whether to accept or deny these requests
  • The toolkit manager may refer difficult requests to the Steering Committee
• If the requests are accepted, the toolkit manager will perform necessary quality assurance checks and reviews
Items for Group Discussion

• Are there any suggested edits or ideas for improving the organization or presentation of ideas in the toolkit?
• Are there any other suggestions for how best to update the toolkit materials?
• What quality assurance procedures do we feel are necessary and sufficient for posting the toolkit on the web?
• Other questions or suggestions?