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Introduction of ASEANSAI

Mission

• ASEANSAI is an autonomous, independent, professional and non-political organization/association established to build capacity, to promote cooperation among its members, and to establish constructive and beneficial relation with ASEAN and other stakeholders.

Vision

• To be recognized and trusted as an organized body of Supreme Audit Institutions which promote good governance in the ASEAN Region.

Core Value

• Respect
• Professionalism
• Partnership

- ASEANSAI Chairperson 2017 – 2019: Mrs. Viengthong SIPHANDONE (President of SAO of Lao PDR)
- Secretariat for the period of 2018 – 2023: the Audit Board of the Republic of Indonesia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SG 1: To support the implementation of ISSAI and INTOSAI good practices</th>
<th>SO 1.1: To promote safeguarding the independence of member SAI's</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SG 2: To promote good performance of member SAI's</td>
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<td>SG 4: To strengthen ASEANSAI active role within ASEAN agenda</td>
<td>SO 2.2: To support member SAI's to progress in auditing through capacity building</td>
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<td>SG 5: To improve the cooperation with regional and international partners</td>
<td>SO 3.1: To encourage and support member SAI's to conduct SDG-related auditing</td>
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<td>SG 6: To strengthen organizational governance of ASEANSAI</td>
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## Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantage Factors</th>
<th>Natural resources have the potential to <strong>generate</strong> huge amounts of <strong>revenue and cash flow</strong>, such as taxes and royalty.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk Factors</td>
<td><strong>Licenses, concessions and rights</strong> conferred by the government have substantial monetary value. This makes them susceptible to <strong>misuse</strong> by corrupt authorities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving Factors of IFFs</td>
<td>The existence of multi-national companies, tax heaven countries, unclear regulations and authority on extractive industries management.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Indonesia Extractive Industries

According to the Energy Ministry's Geological Agency data, coal potential is 120.5 billion tons for open pit mining and 41 million tons for underground mining, with reserves of 31 billion tons. The biggest potential of natural resources and coal reserves in Kalimantan Island is around 57.6% and Sumatra is 42.4%.

Indonesia as one of the countries that have abundance extractive commodities

Grasberg gold mine in the Papua province of Indonesia is currently the world’s largest gold mine containing more than 70 Moz of gold reserves.

Source: Oil and Gas Statistic 2016, Directorate General of Oil And Gas, Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources
Stated Owned Enterprise in Extractive Industries (SOEs)

Oil and Gas
- PERTAMINA
- PERTAMINA EP
- PERTAMINA HULU ENERG

Mining
- PT Timah (Persero) Tbk
- PT Freeport Indonesia
- Bukit Asam
- antam

Minerals:
- Tin
- Gold and Copper
- Coal
- Nickel, gold, bauxite and coal
Audit on the Indonesia Extractive Industries

Audit Focus Areas on Extractive Industries, based on Guideline: Audit Considerations for Extractive Industries, AFROSAI-E, November 2015

BPK (SAI of Indonesia) almost carried out the overall audit focus areas in extractive industries, especially on audits with specific objectives (compliance audit)

SOEs in Extractive Industries

Local Government

Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources
Legal Framework

• BPK evaluates the **process of extending** the work contract of **PT Freeport Indonesia** with the Indonesian Government, and the findings are including boundaries of mining operations, state revenue, environmental aspects, and divestment of shares.

• BPK also reviews legal aspect compared to the PSC contract with cost recovery scheme. BPK found **the non-conformity of cost claims with Government Regulation Number 27 of 2017**, comparing with PSC Contract arrangement.

Presented based on Guideline: Audit Considerations for Extractive Industries, AFROSAI-E, November 2015
Fiscal Systems, Contracts and Licenses

- BPK has carried out audit on the compliance of the parties in the process of issuing licenses. As a result, there are differences in licenses data issued by the Regional Government with those maintained in the Energy Ministry database.

- BPK also audit the suitability of the implementation of the profit sharing scheme agreed in the Production Sharing Contract (PSC) in calculating the profit sharing of mining operations.
Monitoring of Operations

- BPK has carried out audit on **Follow-up on changes in legislation** in the management of mining business. For example, since the enactment of Law Number 23 Year 2014 concerning Regional Government, the mechanism for transferring the authority to issue licenses from the District/City Government to the Provincial Government has not been implemented adequately.

- BPK also conducts audit on **monitoring implementation of the supply chain management activities** concerning of Upstream Oil and Gas Business Activities by The Special Task Force for Upstream Oil and Gas Business Activities (abbreviated: SKK Migas).

Presented based on Guideline: Audit Considerations for Extractive Industries, AFROSAI-E, November 2015
Collection of Taxes and Royalties

- BPK conducts audit on the compliance of the contractor in **fulfilling the obligation** to pay taxes and royalties, including the imposition of fines for late payment of taxes and royalties.

Revenue Distribution

- BPK conducts audit on compliance for saving fund to fulfillment of **post-mining guarantees / guaranteed reclamation** by the contractors.
- The BPK also **analyzes the impact of the delay in the construction** of mining material **purification facilities** (e.g. for nickel) for increasing state revenue.
Implementation of Sustainable Policies

• The BPK audits the compliance of the process of using protected forests and conservation forests as concessions for oil and gas, also mineral and coal mines.

• The BPK audits the impact of disposal of mining operational waste on terrestrial, river and sea ecosystems.

• The BPK conducts audit on management of permits for hazardous and toxic materials by Extractive Industries.

• BPK also conducts audit on fulfilling Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) fund obligations to help maintain / improve the welfare of local residents.
First, many rent-seeker (actors in multi-level governance, politicians, and businessmen) which influence the non-transparent granting mining licenses and tender process. This is reflected in the number of mining business licenses that are not clear and clean.

Second, the multi-level governance context produce different sets of revenue data that indicated the existence of data manipulation, trade misinvoicing, and the loss of tax revenue. Beside that, lack of transparency in data on oil and gas, can trigger errors or manipulation in the determination of percentage of gross production split schemes, as alternative of cost recovery scheme.

Third, regulatory vulnerability, including the cost recovery scheme. Many problems concerning with cost recovery scheme including charging of irrelevant cost and do not comply with government regulations, and also cost mark ups by contractors.
Lesson Learned

• **Fair accountability and responsibility** between central and local government in term of licenses issuing process.

• **Data integration** among central (including custom) and local government concerning mining production, taxes and other compensation paid by contractors.

• **Fair and conformity of law** between production sharing cost (PSC) contract and government regulation on cost recovery scheme.

• **Fairness bidding and benchmarking** in the selection of contractors.

• More objective audit **concerning environment** aspect.

• **Better coordination** on bilateral and multilateral to promote EIT Transparancy.
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